

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virgina 22313-1450 www.spile.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/689,774 | 10/13/2000 | Akio Katsube | 1018976-000181 | 8104 | |
| 21839 BUCHANAN | 7590 06/18/200 INGERSOLL & ROOI | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404 | | | COZART, | COZART, JERMIE E | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 3726 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 06/18/2009 | ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------------|------------------------|--|
| 09/689,774 | KATSUBE ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Jermie E. Cozart | 3726 | |
| | 09/689,774 Examiner | 09/689,774 KATSUBE ET AL. Examiner Art Unit |

| | Jermie E. Cozart | 3726 | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | | | |
| All The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi ral (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | |
| a) The period for reply expires | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original process. | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMELINETED. | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better | sideration and/or search (see NOT v); | TE below); | | | |
| appeal; and/or | or form of appear by materially for | adding or dirriping to | 10 100000 101 | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | orresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 1. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | l be entered and an e: | xplanation of | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | /Jermie E Cozart/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 3726 | | | |
| | | | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments are not persuasive and similar arguments have been addressed in the previous Office Action mailed 4/10/09.